

List of Site Alteration Bylaws with Questions + Comments

City	Bylaw Number	On AM's List	Reviewed by*/done	Permit System	Conditions and Tree or Vegetation Removal
Barrie	2014-100	Y	imp/Rod	Yes	Notify residents within 500 m; trees not mentioned; protects Env Protect zones, wetlands, ANSI – see Sched D
Brantford	2014-100 + 28-2011	Y	imp/Rod	Yes	Protect H <sub>2</sub> O quality, incl vegetative cover; may require EIS; describe natural features; provide assurance against vegetation designated for preservation (Sched C); protect municipal & boundary trees; provide site plans; no inadvertent damage to trees
Burlington	061-2014	Y	imp	Yes	Clearing & grubbing; defines arborist; tree & vegetation removal; protect wildlife; no SA in conservation zone; excludes agriculture
Georgina	2011-0044 (REG1)	Y	IMP	Yes	See my review
Guelph	2007-18420	Y	imp	Yes	Defines vegetation; can be designated for protection; no detrimental impact on natural environment
Halton Hills	2010-0119	Y	imp	Yes	Plan may be registered on title; show elevations at 0.5 m intervals and 3 m beyond the property line. Identify all trees >75 mm diameter + shrubs; protect drinking water wells
Hamilton	03-126, 2014	Y	imp	Yes	Identify trees >150 mm diameter, show canopy massing; cause no detrimental effect on trees or unnecessary damage
Kawartha Lakes	2012-200	Y	IMP	Yes	See my review
Kingston	2008-128	Y	imp	Yes	Natural heritage features defined including woodlands, wetlands – all to be shown on map; no requirement to save trees
Kitchener	2010-633.2	Y	imp	Yes	Are tree protection measures; location and type of vegetation cover; don't damage trees unnecessarily
London	CP1363(g)-248	Y	imp	Yes	Permit needed if an Env Protected Area; protect city trees; don't harm healthy vegetation on or near the site

City	Bylaw Number	On AM's List	Reviewed by*/done	Permit System	Conditions and Tree or Vegetation Removal
Markham	2011-232	Y	IMP	Yes	See my review
Mississippi Mills	Draft 2015	Y	IMP	Yes	See my review
Muskoka Lakes	2008-56	Y	IMP	May require	See my review
Niagara Falls	2007-260	Y	imp	Yes	Need Phase I, II, III Env Site Assessments; locate all trees >100 mm diameter + other vegetation; show canopy massing + show vegetation 3 m outside boundary; no detrimental effects on tree >75 mm; protect trees if required by permit; show drip line of trees
Oakville	2003-021	N	ED	Yes	See ED review
Oshawa	2006-xxx	Y	imp	Yes	Identify all species trees >250 mm + shrubs + other vegetation within 3 m of border; topography 30 m beyond border
St. Thomas	161-2010	Y			Includes trees, vegetation removed; no detrimental impact on trees > 75 mm on site or adjacent land; site plan to show woodlands and all vegetative cover + wetlands
Sudbury (Greater)	2009-170	Y	ED	Yes	See ED review
Waterloo	2010-066	Y	ED	Yes	See ED review
Welland	2010-88	Y	ED	Yes	See ED review

\*imp – quick review 02/08/16; IMP – review according to Erwin's questions

#### Special Notes

- Permits are valid for varying lengths – 30-365 days
- Some require notification of adjacent landowners
- Some require measuring elevation/topography on adjacent lands (i.e. beyond the owner's property line)
- All protect significant natural features
- Amount of the fine (for violating the permit) varies as does the initial permit fee
- London allows people refused a permit to appeal to the OMB
- Oshawa allows for cost recovery of staff time for processing and field inspections

- St. Thomas won't allow harming off-site trees